Glycan Structure De Novo Sequencing with Tandem Mass Spectrometry

Kaizhong Zhang

Joint work with Gilles Lajoie, Bin Ma, Baozhen Shan Department of Computer Science Department of Biochemistry University of Western Ontario University of Waterloo

Outline

- Glycosylation
- Glycan structure determination
- Two similar mathematical models
 - Their algorithms and complexities
- Experiments and Results

Post-translational modifications

- Some amino acids are modified after the protein is synthesized.
- In most cases these PTM are important to the proteins' functions
- Most PTM can be simply regarded as a new amino acid for bioinformaticians' point of view.
 - Oxidation M' = M+16
- Glycosylation is one exception

Glycosylation is an important PTM

- In humans more than half of the proteins are believed to be glycosylated.
- The glycan portions have been associated with a wide range of biological functions
 - such as protein folding, solubility, protein localization and trafficking, protection against enzyme degradation, antigenicity and cell-cell recognition.
- Alteration in glycosylation is known to be involved in long list of diseases
 - such as carcinoma of the mammary gland, lung, colon and pancreas, rheumatoid arthritis, Gaucher and Tay Sachs disease

An Example of Protein Glycosylation

Structural variation in glycans

HIV-1: nature's master of disguise Nature 422, 307-312, 2003



Simple sugars and glycopeptides





Glycoproteins



N-linked glycans



Hex HexNAc 🛆 Fuc 🔷 Pen 🖓 NANA



Glycopeptide Fragmentation













Glycopeptide fragmentation

- ETD tends to fragment peptides.
- CID or HCD tends to fragment glycans.
 - Y-ions are linked to the peptide.
 - One y-ion may associate with several b-ions.
 - Peaks for y-ions and b-ions are separated.



Tandem Mass spectrometry

Two stage of mass analysis Q-TOF2

- First : select a precursor ion
- Second: scan the product ions



TOF with reflectron





Determine glycan composition

- Use y-ions
- Let m_p be the mass of the peptide
- Let *M* be the glycopeptide ion mass
- *DP(M)* will be the score of the optimal path that corresponds to the composition

$$DP(m_p) = 0$$
$$DP(m) = f(m) + \max_{g \in \Sigma} f(m - m(g))$$

Problem Formulation

Glycan tree representation







 $T = (HexNAc; t_1)$ $t_1 = (Hex; t_1, t_2)$ $t_1 = (Hex)$ $t_2 = (Hex)$

Glycan De novo Sequencing Problem

Spectrum S defines f(m)

- f(m) high if the peak at/around m is high
- f(m)<=0 if no peak at/around m</p>
- A tree structure T defines mass set ms(T)
 - E.g. Any subtree T' defines two mass values m(T') and M-m(T').



Modeling *de novo* sequencing

The score between a tree T and a spectrum S is defined by

Simple model

 $score(S,T) = \sum_{T' \text{ subtree of } T} f(m(T')) + f(M - m(T'))$

• Another way is Mass set model $score(S,T) = \sum_{m \in ms(T)} f(m)$

Problem Statement

Glycan De Novo sequencing:

Given an MS/MS spectrum *S* with a precursor mass *M* and *f(m)*, find a glycan tree *T* such that m(T)=M and score(S,T) is maximized.

Algorithm under simple model

 D(m) be the score of the optimal subtree with mass m.

$$D(m) = \max_{\substack{g \in \Sigma \\ m_1 + m_2 + m(g) = m}} D(m_1) + D(m_2) + f(m) + f(M - m)$$

- D(M) will be the optimal tree.
- Time complexity $O(M^2)$
- Maximum degree is 2.



Algorithm under simple model

 D₂(m) be the score of the optimal subforest with at most two subtrees and mass m.

 $D(m) = \max_{\substack{g \in \Sigma \\ m_1 + m_2 + m(g) = m}} D_2(m_1) + D_2(m_2) + f(m) + f(M - m)$ $D_2(m) = \max_{0 \le m_1 \le m - m_1} D(m_1) + D(m - m_1)$

- D(M) will be the optimal tree.
- Time complexity $O(M^2)$
- Maximum degree is 4.

Simple model v.s. mass set model

- The simple model "encourages" the algorithm to reuse some of the most intense peaks multiple times. This may cause problems.
- The mass set model can solve such problem.
- Unfortunately, mass set model is NP-hard.

Reduction for NP-hardness Proof

• Exact Cover by 3-Sets Given $E = \{e_1, ..., e_n\}$ $S = \{s_1, ..., s_n\}$ $s_l = \{e_i, e_j, e_k\}$

Find $S^* \subseteq S$, s.t. S^* exact cover E

• Glycan *De Novo* Sequencing • Given $S = \{(m_1, h_1), ..., (m_n, h_n)\}$ and M $Score(S,T) = \sum_{m \in \Delta(T)} f(m)$

• Find T, s.t. Score(S,T) maxmize and m(T) = M

Idea of NP-hardness Proof

- Design a spectrum S and values of f(m).
- With S and f(m), e_i corresponds to T_i, each threesubtree group corresponds to a 3-set.
- If there is an exact cover, an optimal solution tree can be constructed.
- If there is an optimal solution tree, there is an exact cover.



Heuristic algorithm

- Iterative construction, from smaller tree to larger tree
- Use y-ions, b-ions, and "internal" b-ions
- Keep first J trees with highest scores for each size



Heuristic algorithm

- Difficulty: when merging two subtrees together, some peaks may be reused.
- Solution: keep many subtrees (masses used) for m₁ and m₂, when merge, adjust the scores for reused peaks.



Software implementation - GlycoMaster

Biochemistry considerations

- Core of N-linked glycans
- Parent of pentose node is hexose node
- Fucose and sialic acid are leaf node
- Parent of fucose node is hexNac node

Parameters

Scoring function

$$f(m) = \delta(m) \times \xi(m)$$

- Δm mass error
- σ mass accuracy

d – penalty factor

$$\xi(m) = \begin{cases} b & \text{if } m \text{ is a B} - \text{fragment} \\ a & \text{if } m \text{ is a Y} - \text{fragment} \end{cases}$$

 $\delta(m) = \begin{cases} \log(I) \times e^{-\Delta m/\sigma} & \text{if } m \text{ matches a peak} \\ -d & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

- J number of trees kept
- Software tool GlycoMaster

Experiments

- Cationic isozyme peanut peroxidase is a Nlinked glycoprotein with three glycosylation sites.
- RP-HPLC separation.
- Mass Spectrometry Instrument: Q-TOF2
 - positive ion, ESI MS/MS mode, with borosilicate nano tips.

Experiments

- Glycopeptide-containing fractions identification
 - Q-TOF instrument operating in precursor ion discovery (PID) mode.
 - Identify typical simple sugar peaks.
- Tandem mass spectrometry for glycopeptides
 - MS/MS was triggered when sugars in the fraction were detected.
 - CID fragmentation breaks glycosidic bonds.
- 16 spectra were obtained, interpreted by human and Glycomaster program separately.
- All compositions agree. 15 structures agree.

MS/MS tandem scan

IYNESNIDPTYAK LHFHDCFVQGCDASVLLDDTSNFTGEK DSTTASLSSANSDLPAPFFNLSGLISAFSNK

GPa		GPb		GPc	
m/z	Z	m/z	Z	m/z	Z
1071	3	957	4	1340	4
1139	3	1031	4	1390	3
1241	3	1071	4	1458	3
1350	2	1200	4		
1605	2	1251	4		
1707	2	1375	3		
		1598	3		



- 8 MS/MS spectra
 - GPa1071, GPa1241, GPa1605, GPa1707
 - GPb1301, GPb1251, GPb1598
 - GPc1390
- Constants
 - J = 1000
 - a = 5.2
 - b = 2.3
 - d = 2.8



- 8 MS/MS spectra
 - GPa1139, GPa1350
 - GPb957, GPb1071, GPb1200, GPb1375
 - GPc1340, GPc1458
- Use PEAKS for data pre-processing
 - Deisotoping
 - Charge deconvolution



Validation

- All compositions are correct
- 7 of 8 glycan structures are same as manual interpretation
- 1 of 8 glycan structure is slightly different from manual interpretation (GPb1200)













(b) matches two more peaks

Peaks in raw data



Conclusion

- A polynomial time algorithm is provided under simple model of glycopeptide *De Novo* sequencing
- A more realistic model is proved to be NPhard
- A new heuristic algorithm is introduced, which works very well in practice.

Future work

- Integrate with database search
- Combine ETD-CID or ETD-HCD for full characterization
 - Experimentally, the ThermoTM LTQ Orbitrap is capable of alternating between HCD and ETD for the same precursor ion during an LC/MS/MS analysis.









• 1. if $Z_i + Z_j = Z_{i'} + Z_{j'}$, then $\{i, j\} = \{i', j'\}$. • 2. if $Z_i + Z_j + Z_k = Z_{i'} + Z_{j'} + Z_{k''}$ then $\{i, j, k\} = \{i', j', k'\}$. • 3. $Z_1 < Z_2 < \ldots < Z_n = O(n^{12}).$ • 4. if $i \neq j_i |z_i - z_i| \geq n^6 + 2$. • 5. if $\{i,j\} \neq \{i',j'\}, |z_i + z_i - z_{i'} + z_{j'}| \geq n^6 + 2$. • 6. if $\{i,j,k\} \neq \{i',j',k'\}, |z_i + z_i + z_{k'} - z_{i'} + z_{j'} + z_{k'}|$ $\geq n^{6} + 2$



$$\blacksquare M = 4 \times n$$

$$X_{i} = M + Z_{i}$$

•
$$e_i$$
 corresponds to x_i

• $\{e_{i}, e_{j}, e_{k}\}$ corresponds to $x_i + x_j + x_k + 2$

Related Work

Brute-force

All topology

Gaucher et al. Anal. Chem. 72:2231-2236, 2000

N-linked glycan

Goldberg et al. Proteomics. 5:865-875, 2005

- Spectrum graph
 - Glycan composition

Mizuno et al. Anal. Chem. 71:4764-4771, 1999

Structures of released glycans

Ethier et al. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 17:2713-2720, 2003

Dynamic programming – linear structures

Tang et al. Bioinformatics 21:i431-i439, 2005

Example: Cationic isozyme peanut peroxidase

Purification by RP-HPLC

XLSSNFYATKCPNALSTIKSAVNSAVAKEARMGASLLRLHFHDCFVQGCD ASVLLDDTSN*FTGEKTAGPNANSIRGFEVIDTIKSQVESLCPGVVSCADILA VAARDSVVALGGASWNVLLGRRDSTTASLSSANSDLPAPFFN*LSGLISAFS NKGFTTKELVTLSGAHTIGQAQCTAFRTRIYN*ESNIDPTYAKSLQANCPSVG GDTNLSPFDVTTPNKFDNAYYINLRNKKGLLHSDQQLFNGVSTDSQVTAYS NNAATFNTDFGNAMIKMGNLSPLTGTSGQIRTNCRKTN

Digestion with trypsin : cutting at R or K



Tryptic Peptides	Name	Matched
QLSSNFYATK	P1	Y
CPNALSTIK	P2	Y
SAVNSAVAK	P3	Y
EAR	P4	N
MGASLLR	P5	Y
LHFHDCFVQGCDASVLLDDTSNFTGEK	P6 = GPb	Y
TAGPNANSIR	P7	Y
GFEVIDTIK	P8	Y
SQVESLCPGVVSCADILAVAAR	P9	Y
DSVVALGGASWNVLLGR	P10	Y
R	P11	N
DSTTASLSSANSDLPAPFFNLSGLISAFSNK	P12 = GPc	Y
GFTTK	P13	N
ELVTLSGAHTIGQAQCTAFR	P14	Y
TR	P15	N
IYNESNIDPTYAK	P16 = GPa	Y
SLQANCPSVGGDTNLSPFDVTTPNK	P17	N
FDNAYYINLR	P18	Y
NK	P19	N
к	P20	N
GLLHSDQQLFNGVSTDSQVTAYSNNAATFNTDFGNAMIK	P21	Y
MGNLSPLTGTSGQIR	P22	Y
TNCR	P23	N
TN	P24	N









Software implementation - GlycoMaster



- Core of N-linked glycans
- Parent of pentose node is hexose node
- Fucose and sialic acid are leaf node

Science 291:2351-2356, 2001

